

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,489	03/18/2004	Vincent J. Zimmer	42P18506	7634
Anthony H. Az	7590 10/19/2007		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZA	AFMAN LLP	VO, T	ED T
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire	Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, C	A 90025		2191	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	T		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- B
Office Action Summary	10/804,489	ZIMMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted T. Vo	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO. cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commun	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Au	ugust 2007		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		ters prospoution as to the ma	dia in
closed in accordance with the practice under E			iiis is
	x parte quayre, 1955 C.L	J. 11, 455 O.G. 215.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-12,15-19 and 21-27</u> is/are pendi	ing in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 7-12, 15-19, 21-23</u> is/are allowed			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
_	· -		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in A	application No	
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	ity documents have been		е
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Dialisperson's Fatent Diawing Review (FTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application	
5. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/804,489 Page 2

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed as RCE on 08/13/07.

Claims 1-3, 7-12, 15-19, 21-27 are pending in the application.

Specification

2. The specification has been amended with adding the section heading "BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION" and with the phase "Not Applicable" followed under the section heading.

Response to Arguments

3. With regard to the argument in the remarks filed on 08/13/07 directing to the rejection under 102, in the remarks, Applicants addressed that (remarks: p.10)

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element of the claim is found in a single reference. M.P.E.P § 2131 (citing *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628 (Fed. Cir. 1987)). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim." M.P.E.P. § 2131 (citing *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1989)).

It should be noted that,

In rejecting claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102, a single prior art reference that discloses, either expressly or inherently, each limitation of a claim invalidates that claim by anticipation. Perricone v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp., 432 F.3d 1368, 1375-76, 77 USPQ2d 1321, 1325-26 (Fed. Cir. 2005),citing Minn. Mining & Mfg. Co. v. Johnson & Johnson Orthopaedics, Inc., 976 F.2d 1559, 1565, 24 USPQ2d 1321, 1326 (Fed. Cir. 1992). Anticipation of a patent claim requires a finding that the claim at issue "reads on" a prior art reference. Atlas Powder Co. v. IRECO, Inc., 190 F.3d 1342, 1346, 51 USPQ2d 1943, 1945 (Fed Cir. 1999) ("In other words, if granting patent protection on the disputed claim would allow the patentee to exclude the public from practicing the prior art, then that claim is anticipated, regardless of whether it also covers subject matter not in the prior art.") (internal citations omitted).

Application/Control Number: 10/804,489

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Garfinkel et al., "Terra: A Virtual Machine-Based Platform for Trusted Computing", ACM, 2003.

Given the broadest reasonable interpretation of followed claims in light of the specification.

<u>As per Claim 24</u>: Garfinkel discloses,

A method, comprising:

loading a virtual machine monitor (VMM) to support a plurality of virtual machines in a computer system, the VMM including a VMM multiplexer (see p.194, left col., last paragraph, "allow many virtual machines (VMs) to run...": plurality of virtual machines. See Figure 1, TVMM: "VMM": i.e., TVMM is loaded in a hardware platform); loading a first and a second virtual machine (VM) supported by the VMM (See sec. 2, p. 194, TERRA allows multiple VMs running independently and concurrently, e.g., see left col., last paragraph of p. 194. See p. 196, left col., third paragraph); and sharing a trusted hardware device between the first VM and the second VM using the VMM multiplexer (refer TVMM, T: trusted; see p. 194, right col., last paragraph, "multiplex"); receiving a request for a VMM service that is associated with the first VM, wherein the request comprises a challenger hash value (see p. 201, Secure Storage); computing a current compound hash value based on a combination of the first VM platform configuration including the challenger hash value and the second VMplatform configuration

Application/Control Number: 10/804,489

Art Unit: 2191

including the second VM hash value (see p. 199, sec. 4.2); determining whether the current compound hash value is equal to the stored compound hash value; and executing the received request when the current compound hash value is equal to the stored compound hash value (See section 2, and see sec. 2.2).

As per Claim 25: Garfinkel discloses, The method of claim 24 wherein the VMM is loaded from firmware, the firmware including instructions compliant with an Extensible Firmware Interface (EFI) specification (See sec. 2.2, p.195-196, and p. 199, e.g., "firmware", "VM firmware", and see p.195, left col. Extensibility).

As per Claim 26: Garfinkel discloses, The method of claim 1 wherein sharing the trusted hardware device comprises multiplexing a first request from the first VM and a second request from the second VM to the trusted hardware device using the VMM multiplexer (See p. 194, right col., last paragraph, "multiplex", also see Figure 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-3, 7-12, 15-19, 21-23 are allowed.

Claims 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted T. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3706. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Y. Zhen can be reached on (571) 272-3708.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,489

Art Unit: 2191

Page 5

The facsimile number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is the Central Facsimile number **571-273-8300**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTV October 12, 2007

> TED VO PRIMARY EXAMINER